1	IN THE CRIMINAL COURT OF TENNESSEE AT CHATTANOOGA THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
2	THE ELEVENTH CODICIAL DISTRICT
3	STATE OF TENNESSEE * Plaintiff, *
4	*
5	*
6	ED JOHNSON * Defendant. *
7	February 25, 2000
8	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING ON
9	MOTION TO SET ASIDE CONVICTION
10	Volume One of One Volume
11	THE HONORABLE DOUGLAS A. MEYER, PRESIDING JUDGE
12	THE HONORABLE DOUGLAS A. METER, FRESIDING GODGE
13	APPEARANCES
14	FOR THE STATE OF TENNESSEE:
15	
16	William Cox, Esq. District Attorney General
17	Third Floor, City and County Courts Building Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402
18	DOD MILE DEFENDANM.
19	FOR THE DEFENDANT:
20	Leroy Phillips, Esq. Phillips and Caputo 312 Vine Street
21	Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	IN THE CRIMINAL COURT OF TENNESSEE AT CHATTANOOGA THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
2	DIVISION I
3	STATE OF TENNESSEE *
4	vs. * Case No. 231240 *
5	ED JOHNSON *
6	This cause came on to be heard and was
7	heard on the 25th day of February 2000, before the
8	Honorable Douglas A. Meyer, Judge, holding the
9	Criminal Court for Hamilton County, at Chattanooga,
10	Tennessee, and the following proceedings were had,
11	to-wit:
12	THE COURT: Let's see. Mr. Phillips is
13	here on behalf of Ed Johnson, and Attorney General
14	Bill Cox is here on behalf of the State.
15	MR. PHILLIPS: Yes.
16	THE COURT: And, of course, representing
17	Ed Johnson is Reverend Paul McDaniel.
18	MR. PHILLIPS: That's correct. We filed
19	it in the, as next friend, correct.
20	THE COURT: All right.
21	MR. PHILLIPS: May I address the Court?
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	MR. PHILLIPS: May it please the Court,
24	what brings me before the Court this afternoon of
25	all things is a tombstone, a tombstone that sits

atop Missionary Ridge here in Chattanooga, and it is a tombstone that has haunted me for now more than 30 years. It, of course, is the tombstone of Ed Johnson, which is in the Pleasant Garden cemetery on top of historic Missionary Ridge that contains, Your Honor, the last words that Ed Johnson spoke to the lynch mob that murdered him here in, on March 19th of 1906. And that tombstone reads, "God bless you all. I am an innocent man."

Your Honor, that tombstone has haunted me both as a lawyer and as a person, and I appear before this Court -- I realize that these proceedings are really historic and for historical purposes.

I would like to point out to Your Honor that most of the time, most of the time where there has been posthumous petitions filed, they have been directed to the executive branch of the government, asking for posthumous pardons of individuals.

Well, one of them, as Your Honor knows, is the president of the United States was asked to, to posthumously pardon Dr. Mudd, who fixed the, the leg of Wilkes, John Wilkes Booth, who shot the president of the United States.

The governor of Georgia was asked to

posthumously pardon Leo Franks and did so.

However, Your Honor, I have filed not with the executive branch of the government, I have filed this petition with the judiciary, and I have filed this petition in the very court that Ed Johnson was convicted in and sentenced to be hanged in. That is Division I of the Criminal Court of Hamilton County, Tennessee. The picture of the judge who presided over that trial is back on the back wall back there.

And I did this on purpose, Your Honor. I know that it has not been done in the past, but I did this because it is the judicial branch of the government, Your Honor, that is responsible for justice in our system, and, and I think it is appropriate to ask the judicial branch of the government to set aside this man's conviction after all of these years.

And I'll relate to the Court in a minute the legal basis for doing that, but I think it's appropriate for this, for the judiciary to do this as a symbolic matter and a matter of historical moment, to let it be known through the judiciary that racism should not play any part in the system of justice that we have, not one iota, not one bit.

And, Your Honor, I know that we have come a long, long way since 1906. And, you know, I sort of fantasized not too long ago that if Ed Johnson came back to life and he went down to the same Federal Court that we, wherein he was put before, he could look up and see an African-American federal judge sitting there. But even probably more importantly, he would see another federal judge down there who is white: Judge Edgar, who would not put up with racism being a part of any judicial proceeding in that courtroom in any manner and to any extent.

Plus, we have three judges here, including Your Honor. We have Judge Stern, who is the first criminal, female criminal judge that we've ever had in this county. Judge Bevil. All three of those judges, I can stand before this Court and say all three of our criminal judges would not put up with racial bigotry, racial prejudice as a part of any proceeding in these courts.

But, Your Honor, let me just say a few words about the events that occurred in this case, because it is the events that give this Court some authority for doing what I'm asking the Court to

do.

And by the way, I am withdrawing my application to expunge the record of Ed Johnson. You know, your young clerk, who did some research work on this case, the research that she did indicated to me -- it just dawned on me that I certainly do not want to expunge the record of Ed Johnson in this case. Quite to the contrary, I want this record to go forth for generations to come of what we're doing here today, and I certainly do not want that record destroyed in any manner and to any extent.

But what I do ask for and I have prayed for in the petition also was that this conviction of his be set aside. That would then return him to the presumption of innocence that he is entitled to.

And, Your Honor, the reason that I believe the Court has authority to do that is that Ed Johnson's conviction never became final. As Your Honor knows, he was tried in an atmosphere of, of mobocracy, that is, the very courthouse where he was tried in was a courthouse surrounded by police officers and armed men, and this man's own family could not get into the courthouse. That is not a

public trial. That is not a fair trial.

Your Honor is familiar with the proceedings that occurred in the courtroom where he was subjected to a situation where the jurors were actually so bigoted they were trying to get their hands on him to do him harm, the very jurors that, that were trying his case.

The lawyers who were appointed to represent him, although they tried in many ways to do him as we lawyers are supposed to do, give our total effort to them, Your Honor knows that they were, they were affected by the racial bigotry in this community to such an extent that they were afraid to file motions for a continuance and motions to attack the all-white juries that, that heard them.

And, Your Honor, the reason we do not have to put on any proof in this court to ask the Court to do this is because the Court can take judicial notice of the appellate decisions by our courts and the appellate decisions by the United States Supreme Court, and there is an opinion by the United States Supreme Court dated May of 1909 that finds the sheriff of Hamilton County and the chief deputy of Hamilton County guilty of willful

contempt of court for having aided and abetted and acquiesced in this man being taken from their custody and taken down to the Walnut Street Bridge and lynched.

That prevented this man from ever -- that prevented him from having an appeal. That also prevented this, this conviction from ever being final.

Now, I know that the normal situation is that when someone dies on appeal, where his case is on appeal, that case is really mooted and, and that person is then judged by the almighty. But there's a big difference in that occurring and what occurred in this case.

What occurred in this case was that an agent, in fact, two agents of the State were involved in the participation and the acquiescence of this man being murdered. And I respectfully submit that that gives the foundation and a legal basis for setting aside this man's conviction, because of that State wrongdoing.

And the Court knows that this decision by the United States Supreme Court made a factual finding of the guilt of the sheriff and his deputy acquiescing and cooperating with the actual mob

that lynched this man.

At one time, Your Honor knows, he had six lawyers, six white lawyers, who waived his right to appeal on the grounds that the community here would not stand for an appeal; that if he appealed, he would be lynched. And, therefore, he went before the Court, the trial court and waived his right to appeal and the trial court accepted that waiver.

As Your Honor knows, under no criteria of our state law today or our federal law would that be permitted. Your Honor would never do that, never permit a man to waive a right to appeal under those coercive circumstances.

The bottom line is, is that this man was arrested, tried, convicted and lynched for one reason: He was an African-American. That's it.

That's it.

Of course, we cannot permit justice in this country to function in that fashion, and I know Your Honor can't, and I respectfully submit to you that there is a legal basis for this proceeding and for this court's order, and it's needed.

You know, not too long ago somebody said to me, "Why are you doing this?" Well, I think that we have a lot of racial problems still left in

this country, and, Your Honor, Your Honor knows
that we have to look at those problems in a
truthful manner and look at our history, not
through rose-colored glasses, but look for the
truth, and the truth in this case is brutal and is
sickening. But the greatest thing is that we can
send to the community a message that we care, that
we care about justice, that we care about having a
system that does not look to race.

Your Honor, if Your Honor will permit me,

I would like to have the young man who worked for

twelve years, Mark Curriden, on this case with me,

if you would permit him to make a few remarks to

the Court, I would appreciate it.

And then after that, I would like to introduce to Your Honor the -- of course, Your Honor knows him -- but for the record, I want to say a few words about the man that we asked to step forward as a representative of the African-American community to be next friend in this petition we filed.

But first, if Your Honor will permit Mr.

Curriden to --

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Curriden. We're glad to have you.

MR. CURRIDEN: Thank you, Your Honor. appreciate it.

One of the interesting things is when we were doing the research, Your Honor, we interviewed dozens and dozens of people, went through the Court records, went to Tuskegee University, went to the archives in D.C., went to each of the archives of the justices on the Supreme Court in 1906 through 1909.

Probably one of the most significant people we talked to was Roy Noel, a citizen of Chattanooga forever and ever, truly one of the historians of African-American history here. And I don't think that I certainly appreciated the social importance of this case within the African-American community until I spent a couple of days with Roy Noel, and he started telling me -- and, of course, when we started this, the Walnut Street Bridge was being renovated and there was the whole controversy about this case on the bridge. And, and I guess that neither of us, neither Mr. Phillips nor I really understood just what a shadow this case cast on the community here.

And then we decided at the end of the book, what are some of the things that we can

accomplish? I mean, twelve years to work on a project is a lot of time, and a lot of people kept saying, "When are you going to finish this? When are you going to finish this?"

And so, at the end, Mr. Phillips and I sat down. We said, "Well, what do we want to accomplish? What are the goals? What are the things" -- and certainly the cleanup of the cemetery was one thing, where Ed Johnson is buried. And when he and I went up there when we were finishing the book, that cemetery is in horrible condition and it needs to be cleaned up.

Number two was the saving of the files at Tuskegee University. They have thousands and thousands of files. They have an individual file on all 4,708 people who were lynched in this country between 1880 and 1944, files that are there, they're deteriorating, that need to be preserved and known to the public.

But then in talking to people like

Reverend McDaniel, and even Roy Noel earlier, it

became clear that -- one day we went down to the

clerk's office to find out, during the research,

what was there, what was in the file. And really,

the courthouse had burned, so -- and shortly after

this case, so there wasn't -- the true files were not here in Hamilton County.

The one thing that was there was a simple record that stated that Ed Johnson was found guilty and is guilty of rape and sentenced to die. And I think that had a big impact on us that that record is still there, and it needs to be changed.

If I may, Your Honor, there's two things in the public record that we quote in our book, and we can get you copies of these originals if you like. The first was, that really had the great impact about why this conviction needs to be reversed was when Nevada Taylor, the victim, who was a very brave woman actually, she took the witness stand, and this is the dialogue that went in place.

She'd been on the witness stand and had been asked over and over, "Is this the man? Is this the man?" pointing to Ed Johnson, and she wavered several times, and finally a juror stood up and said, "Miss Taylor, can you tell us again, is that the Negro, the one that attacked you?

"Miss Taylor: To the best of my knowledge and belief, he is the same man."

Then the juror again, "But, Miss Taylor,

can you state positively that this is the Negro, the one who assaulted you?"

"Miss Taylor: I will not swear that he is the man, but I believe he could be the Negro who assaulted me."

Another juror, "In God's name, Miss
Taylor, tell us positively, is he the guilty Negro?
Can you say it? Can you swear to it?"

And she shook her head. "Even Ed

Johnson, who stood before his accuser, bowed his
head in his hands and wiped away the tears. Two
jurors leaned forward, choking back sobs. Lawyers
on both sides were speechless. At that moment a
juror rose to his feet and started to rush towards
the defendant, only to be restrained by fellow
jurors. Pointing at Ed Johnson, he yelled, quote,
"If I could get at him, I would tear his heart out
right now." Certainly not an atmosphere of
impartial and fair trial, impartial jury and fair
trial.

The second, as Mr. Phillips has already pointed out, took place, and this was in the federal court records in which his own lawyers go to Ed Johnson, he's already been found guilty, and now his lawyers go to him and they are convinced

that he will not win on appeal, and they are convinced that a lynch mob will come after him and the sheriff this time will not protect him. They say this outright.

And here's the quote from the court record, "Ed, there are two choices here," this is from his lawyer, Your Honor, "You can accept the verdict of the Court and die in an orderly, lawful manner, or you can die horridly in the hands of the mob. Do you want to die at the hands of the mob?

Do you want to die in an orderly fashion or do you want a lynch mob to take you from your cell, drag you into the streets, beat you, hang you in front of everyone, leaving your body there for all to see and then come after your family?"

Ed Johnson: "No, sir."

The lawyer: "Do you want us to do as we think best in deciding on an appeal?"

Ed Johnson: "Yes, sir. I will tell the judge that I am ready to die, but I will also say I am not the guilty man."

Those are very powerful words, and throughout this case, as Mr. Phillips has said, is that the evidence pointing away from Ed Johnson is overwhelming. And in talking to both Mr. Cox early

on, he asked us one significant question is, "Well, why do we want to do this?" And there were many technical reasons and, you know, the bottom line is we believe it's just the right thing to do.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. PHILLIPS: May it please the Court, of course, when a petition is brought on behalf of a person who is deceased, you must do it in the form of a next friend petition. And I didn't have to, I didn't have to seek out various people in the community. I had one person in mind, and when I approached him, he didn't hesitate and said that he would be glad to do it. That is the Reverend Paul McDaniel, who, Your Honor, is a superb example and representative not only of the black community of this -- the African community here, but of this community, period.

He has served very honorably in public office, been on the County Council for years and years, and finally retired and -- but he is very active in his, the church that he heads. He is just a wonderful, wonderful person.

I would ask him to address the Court with permission.

Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Reverend McDaniel.

REVEREND MCDANIEL: Your Honor, it's somewhat strange and difficult for me to really stand here, first, to decide as to what should I say, and next, as to where should I start.

The attorney was correct that I did come forward freely, and I came, though, after I had read the well researched and dramatic and powerful presentation of the facts in this case.

I confess that I had heard of the case, especially a few years ago, with reference to, in regard to the NAACP seeking to have some sort of monument or some item on the reconstructed Walnut Street Bridge.

At that time, I did not give the sort of attention to this case, the situation that maybe I ought to have done, but after being urged by my friend, Rheubin Taylor, to read this manuscript, that I couldn't put it down. And I thought this was a very powerful description of much that I have heard about and I've known about in the history of my life, and then I could identify to some extent with it, especially in terms of the court situation.

I could make some identification in terms of the history of black people who have been unjustly killed in this nation, and particularly in this case when we use the word "the next friend," how could I be the next friend? As I read the procedures, at least there was one difference -- well, some similarity and then some differences: One, that I also went to court. I was not on trial for my life, but for my rights. I was heard in Chancery Court, but I won in Chancery Court.

My opponent appealed my case to the State Supreme Court, and I lost in State Supreme Court. But I, too, had the right, as those two other noble attorneys who took Ed Johnson's case to the U.S. Supreme Court, I had two noble attorneys who took my case to the U.S. Supreme Court, but the difference was that -- well, the similarity was that the Court agreed to hear the, both cases, and agreed for a stay of execution of the decision of the lower courts. But I was advantaged to have my court, my case heard in the U.S. Supreme Court and to have reached a decision in my favor.

THE COURT: Without interrupting your chain of thought, that was when you were seeking to run for office and they tried to keep you off

because you were a reverend?

REVEREND MCDANIEL: Yes. And

Tennessee state --

THE COURT: And Tennessee law said you could not.

REVEREND MCDANIEL: Tennessee State

Constitution, I think Article 7, I still remember,

prohibits a clergy from sitting in the state

legislature, and the same requirements was to sit

in the Constitutional Convention, which I was a

candidate and won a seat in that convention. And

the U.S. Supreme Court reversed the decision of the

State Supreme Court in my favor.

But Ed Johnson did not have that right. He did not have the opportunity for the Court to hear his case and to be given that decision, and instead, a group of lawless citizens took him and took the law in their own hands.

And I think that it just may be fitting and proper and his next friend, in terms of sharing of the injustices that many have shared, and so I feel that what we do today may have symbolic significance as to this case, and they say a word to our community: That we ought to be mindful of our history, not only certain things that we

cherish, but also those things that would challenge us, that we may so carry on justice for all.

Judge, by the way, I did receive a letter from a citizen of this community after hearing about the case and our involvement, the book that was written, that he wrote a letter -- and I think the Attorney Phillips also received a copy -- was that in 1945, this person was on his way to Fort Benning, Georgia, to be inducted, I think, into the armed services.

And he stopped in Macon, Georgia, at the bus station there, to eat, and the person who waited on him was a person who asked people where you're from, and this waitress did the same thing to this gentleman, Mr. Craigmire, and asked him where he was from, and he said Chattanooga, Tennessee.

And she said, "That's a bad town. That was the town that lynched my son on a bridge."

I think that what we can do today is somewhat justified, at least our sentiment in that case, and give Chattanooga a little better name.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. PHILLIPS: May it please the Court,

let me say just one or two words and then I'll turn it over to the general.

The one or two words I want to say is that, too, I was astonished when I first started reading and researching this case that two African-Americans were practicing law in Chattanooga in 1906 here, and what turned out to be extremely, very, very brave human beings. One of them was Styles Hutchins, who was the first African-American lawyer ever to be admitted to the Georgia bar, and plans are now in place to hang the portrait that my co-author and I have agreed to provide to the Georgia Supreme Court to place in their Supreme Court building.

The present chief justice of the Georgia
Supreme Court is an African-American, but he's not
the only African-American on the bench in the
Georgia Supreme Court. There's a young lady who is
also an associate justice on the Georgia Supreme
Court that is African-American.

We hope to have ceremonies there to place this man's portrait there in a place of honor. The second person, the second African-American in this case was Noah Parden, who was also a very, very brave, brave individual.

Both of those individuals had to leave Chattanooga in 1906 when this lynching occurred out of fear of being lynched themselves. And I have no doubt that they probably would have been had they stayed here.

Things have changed, Your Honor, since then. But I like to also, to say this, and then I'll close: The changes that have been brought about have been tremendous, and those changes have been brought about by the actions and conduct of lawyers and judges, and I am proud to be an officer of this court.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. General Cox.

MR. COX: Your Honor, first let me say that the gentlemen that have spoken here today are all personal friends of mine, well known to me and well respected by myself and my staff.

It's apparent that a motion has been filed and it's also apparent no opposition to this motion has been filed on behalf of the State.

There may be a feeling that every time any motion comes up in court, it's my duty to oppose it, but that's not my duty at all, as this Court knows and understands. My duty is to see to it that justice,

or as close as we can come to justice, occurs in the State of Tennessee, and that's my responsibility as district attorney.

Your Honor, I'm sure this Court realizes and understands, as do all of us, that time and circumstances and a lynch mob have robbed this court of the opportunity to do actual justice in this case. Actual justice would have been to prevent what happened from happening. That was attempted back then but it did not happen.

And as I understand it, what Mr. Phillips is seeking today, and the Reverend McDaniel is seeking today is an affirmation from this court that indeed a wrong occurred and a setting of the record straight in that regard.

I, I have no doubt -- I've read the book, and I've viewed the evidence that's contained in that book through the research, and I have no doubt that the criminal justice system in place at that time failed Mr. Johnson and failed us all.

And as I understand it, this Court today has the opportunity to make a gesture toward justice in this case, and certainly, as an officer of the State and as district attorney, I will not stand in the way of such gesture, because, as Mr.

Curriden said, it seems to me the right thing to do.

THE COURT: Thank you.

The Court, of course, will sustain the petition, but I would like to make a couple of comments first.

There is a historical basis for doing it, and actually, I had the benefit of the research that Deborah Fanselau, our judges' law clerk, has done. There's a legal basis for this. It's not just historical, but there is a legal basis.

It really is hard for us in the white community today to imagine how badly blacks were treated during that period of time. Books like Mr. Phillips's and Mr. Curriden's book go a long way in explaining it, and, in fact, the whites that I have talked to really are appalled when they read this book to see how Ed Johnson was treated at that time.

The black community was well aware of it because the blacks had handed down from generation to generation the way they were mistreated, especially from 1880, and some put the Jim Crow period 1880 to 1920, but we all know actually it extended into the seventies. It was only in the

sixties that you had the civil rights act that were passed in '64, I believe, when Lyndon Johnson was president. There was legislation proposed by President Kennedy. And it wasn't all done overnight then, and it is still a continuing struggle. But the white community does need to have a sense of history, to know what really went on during that time.

There have always been white leaders that did understand and did know what was going on. Shortly before leaving office in 1921, Georgia Governor Hugh Dorsey, in 1921, issued a statement as to the Negro in Georgia in which he listed 135 cases of peonage — that's where people were held in bondage because they were in debt, and these were normally blacks that were held in virtual slavery, which was against the law, of course — but he had 135 cases of peonage, lynching and cruelty towards blacks that were called to his attention during his two years as governor.

He concluded by saying, "To me, it seems that we stand indicted as a people before the world." So I guess you could say that about the white community today as far as the, the past misdiscretions by the courts and the law

enforcement agencies.

Ironically, we have a jury right now deliberating in a rape case, and as you know, Ed Johnson was charged with rape. The difference is that on our jury today there are six men and six women, two are black women, one is a black man; two important witnesses in the case, the lady from the Rape Crisis Center was a young black nurse who examined the victim, the DNA expert from the TBI lab in Nashville was a young black woman who was the expert.

Back in 1906, women wouldn't have been on the jury and you wouldn't have had all, you would not have had those witnesses and you wouldn't have had two professional black women testifying in a case.

Of course, locally, Ardena Garth is our elected public defender countywide, Rheubin Taylor is our county attorney, and Edith Blackwell is the foreman of the concurrent grand jury, and we have many others. William Cotton, of course, is sitting there and he's one of our commissioners, county commissioners, former city commissioner. So we do have more blacks in public office.

But still, even today, there is still not

equality, even -- there is a striving for it, but it has not arrived.

2.5

The procedural history, of course, in this case is that on January the 25th, 1906, the sheriff of Hamilton County arrested Ed Johnson on the charge of rape that had occurred two days earlier on January the 23rd.

On February the 11th, February the 11th, that's 17 days later, he was convicted of rape and sentenced to death. And as Mr. Phillips and Mr. Curriden made the point, his attorneys did not appeal the case.

At the last, the two black attorneys did enter an appearance, and as the book indicates, the judge did not tell them, when one attorney said, "Judge, Sunday doesn't count in the running of the statute of limitations," the judge answered later in his testimony was that he didn't have a duty to tell him that he was wrong, and so his appeal was barred, and the judge did have an obligation to explain to the attorney that, No, you're wrong, you've got to file that motion for new trial before, and he did not do so.

The black attorneys then, of course, filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus in the

United States Circuit Court, which was sitting in Knoxville, and that court turned down the attorneys for Ed Johnson.

And then they went to Washington D.C. and went before Justice Harlan, and Justice Harlan granted a stay and then the Supreme Court itself granted a stay. Any justice can grant a temporary stay and then they consider whether or not the majority will vote to hear it.

But interestingly -- and I showed it to Mr. Phillips -- after Ed Johnson was convicted and the judge asked him if he had anything to say, of course, he did say, "I guess I'll have to suffer for what somebody else done."

And the judge asked him if he had received a fair trial, and he said he guessed they'd done everything they could for him, "But I guess I'll be punished for another person's crime."

And something that the white community I don't believe really understands is that, especially at that time, young black males were afraid because it wasn't necessarily that they were -- the object was to bring in a black body, not necessarily the person who was, who had committed the crime, and I think that's what

happened in this case. There was a rush to find somebody to convict and blame for this. And this is not an isolated incident. That's what the young black males faced during that period of time.

And, unfortunately, there is still some harassment by law enforcement, not near as bad, especially in this community -- or hopefully, I don't think theirs is that bad.

But the sheriff was then instructed by the judge to take the defendant and keep him safely. He used the words "keep him safely," and, of course, the sheriff did not keep Ed Johnson safely. Even though the newspaper and everyone knew that the people were, the mob was upset by the action of the U.S. Supreme Court granting the stay, they left one elderly deputy on duty in the jail to keep Ed Johnson safely.

Ed Johnson obviously was a very brave man, because he stood there and took that, always protesting his innocence. Most people, I think, would have been crying and begging and pleading for their life, but he stood right there and said, "God bless you all, I'm an innocent man."

Now, after, after the mob acted and murdered Ed Johnson, the U.S. Supreme Court then

did send agents into the Chattanooga area and they spent a year investigating, and then they had an actual trial in the U.S. Supreme Court. They tried the sheriff and three or four deputies and several members of the mob, I think a total of about 21 people, and, of course, they found that the sheriff was guilty of contempt by failing to keep the prisoner safely.

In 19 -- well, let's see, later, of course, there was a provision in the law, I guess it was about 60 days later -- 60 years later, that provided that records could be expunged.

There's, Tennessee statute was passed to where someone who's found innocent after a trial or where the charge is no-billed by the grand jury, or any reason the case is dismissed, that that can be set aside. And in this particular case, there is no statute of limitation on it, so it does run.

The Reverend McDaniel can proceed legally to ask, as next friend, to ask that this charge be dismissed, and, of course, the Court first will have to set aside the conviction and then dismiss the charge against Ed Johnson.

And as Mr. Phillips said, it would be terrible to ever expunge the record. The record

e i, b should always be there. The only thing that needs 1 to be expunged or clarified is that the conviction 2 3 is set aside. As Mr. Curriden said, the only records 4 that could be found among the Hamilton County 5 6 records, due to the fire in 1909, was that, that he 7 had been convicted of rape. So that conviction is set aside and the 8 9 charge against him is dismissed, and it will be a matter of record. 10 I won't bore all of you by going through 11 all the legal aspects of it, but it will be 12 13 available to the news media or anybody else that wants copies of this, but I am dismissing the 14 15 charge against him. Anything further, though, before we 16 adjourn, Mr. Phillips, or --17 MR. PHILLIPS: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Or General Cox? 19 MR. COX: No, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 21 22 END OF REQUESTED PROCEEDINGS. 23 24

25

1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 3 I, the undersigned Abigail M. Pearce, 4 Official Court Reporter for the Eleventh Judicial 5 District of the State of Tennessee, do hereby 6 certify that the foregoing is a true, accurate and 7 complete transcript, to the best of my knowledge and ability, of all the proceedings had and 8 9 evidence introduced in the trial of the captioned cause, relative to appeal, in the Criminal Court 10 for Hamilton County, Tennessee, on the 25th day of 11 12 February 2000. 13 I do further certify that I am neither of 14 kin, counsel nor interest to any party hereto. 15 March 13, 16 17 18 19 20 Official Court Reporter 21 State of Tennessee 22 23 24 25